1	THOMAS A. JOHNSON, #119203 Law Office of Thomas A. Johnson	
2	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2560	
3	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 422-4022	
4		
5	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:22-cr-00137-JAM
9	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS
10	VS.) UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;) ORDER
11	MYRA BOLECHE MINKS AND	
12	JESSICA TANG,	Date: January 9, 2024 Time: 9:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez
13	Defendants) Judge. Holl. John A. Wendez
14		J
15	CT	IDIH ATION
16		IPULATION 1. 0. 2024
17	1. By previous order, this matter was s	•
18	2. By this stipulation, the defendants n	
19		09:00 a.m. , and to exclude time between
20	January 9, 2024, and April 23, 2024, under Local Codes T2 and T4. 3. On November 15, 2023, the court allowed then counsel for Myra Minks to	
21		
22	withdraw from the case as counsel f	or defendant Minks. The court then appointed
23	Todd Leras to be counsel for Myra	Minks. At that time, Mr. Leras represented to
24	the court that he was to begin a mul	ti-month federal trial in the Eastern District of
25	California in February of 2024.	
26	4. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the	
27	following:	
28	a) The government has represented	that the discovery associated with

this case includes approximately 3,500 pages of reports, video and audio recordings, and photographs. In addition, digital device extractions have been produced in discovery and amount to approximately 10 TB of data. All of this discovery has been produced directly to counsel.

- b) Counsel for the defendants desire additional time to review the discovery, counsel with their clients, conduct investigation and research related to the criminal charges, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for the defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of January 9, 2024 to April 23, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii), (iv) [Local Code T2 and T4] because this is a complex case and it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 5. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Case 2:22-cr-00137-JAM Document 118 Filed 01/04/24 Page 3 of 3

1	DATED: January 4, 2024	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
2		·
3		<u>/s/ JUSTIN L. LEE</u> JUSTIN L. LEE
4		Assistant U.S. Attorney
5	DATE: January 4, 2024	
6	D711E. validary 1, 2021	/s/ TODD LERAS
7		TODD LERAS Attorney for Myra Minks
8		recomey for white winks
9	DATE: January 4, 2024	/s/ Thomas A. Johnson
10		THOMAS A. JOHNSON
11		Attorney for Jessica Tang
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	ORDER	
18	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.	
19		
20	Dated: January 04, 2024	/s/ John A. Mendez
21		THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
22		SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		